UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/583,863	06/01/2000	Guy Nathan	871-80	4666
23117 NIXON & VA N	7590 10/19/200 NDERHYE, PC	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH G	LEBE ROAD, 11TH F	SHANG, ANNAN Q		
ARLINGTON,	VA 22203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		2424		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/19/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/583,863	NATHAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ANNAN Q. SHANG	2424	

	ANNAN Q. SHANG	2424	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>08 September 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extrumer 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of the hortened statutory period for reply original for reply original for the hortened statutory period for reply original for the hortened statutory period for reply original for the hortened statutory and the corresponding amount of the hortened statutory and the corresponding amount of the hortened statutory and the corresponding amount of the correspo	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl	iance with 37 CER 41 37 must be t	filed within two month	of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS		91 (b (1 b -	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be They raise new issues that would require further core They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	sideration and/or search (see NOT		cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Annan O Shana/		
	/Annan Q Shang/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2424	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments with respect to the rejection of claims 1 and 4-6, mailed on 06/10/09, have been considered but are persuasive. With respect to claims 1 and 4-6, rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Guy Nathan et al. (WO 96/12257), Applicant discusses the prior arts of record and argues that the rejection using the prior art of record is not proper (see page 2+ of Applicant's Remarks/Arguments).

In response, Examiner disagrees. Examiner notes Applicant's arguments, however, Nathan teaches that, the hard disk system stores songs, videos, etc., and that part of the songs, videos, etc., not stored because of insufficient space, the manager or operator deletes a few songs, videos, etc., from the disk to again request that the center send theses songs, videos, etc., or the part not received and further suggests that they can be done physically in circuit to which they are assign or implemented by software (page 25, line 35-page 26, line 17), which is clearly anticipated and hence meets, the claim limitations. Nathan further discloses that buffering could also be done by reserving space/location/size of the memory within the memory of the system or in another word, the "tampons" or buffers could be reserved using any types of memories, i.e., RAM/ROM/HDD...). Nathan further discloses a database management system, page 18, lines 13-15, reads on Nathan's Database management system (a specific reception function) because DBMS is a software interface between the database and the user in which the DBMS handles performs database actions, i.e., search, update, retrieveof the database's records (audiovisual files) of the database stored on the storage by the record's fields (specified information) representative of the type of data (i.e., song or video) contained in the record (...effectuer les mises a jour des base de donnees ou de version de chanson souhaitees; page 25, lines 18-20). "processing each file by the corresponding reception function, the processing comprising copying the received file stored in the storage area to update a database of the audiovisual reproduction system according to the data included in the received file, where the minimum size corresponds to a size of the file sent by the central server" reads on Nathan's Database management system inherently comprises the process of writing/copying of the file received to a specified storage area 21, as discussed below ("mises a jour des base de donnees" page 22, lines 18-27; page 24, lines 8-24 and pages 25, lines 18-22). Hence the rejection is proper, meets all thie claims limitations. The finality of the last office action is proper and hereby maintained.